UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Edward Dushaun Baker	Case No. 1:11-cr-00056-PLM
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform defendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findir	ngs of Fact
(1)		3 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	th or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been council. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involve a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or d a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)		on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another as not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Fi	·
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	s committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	hed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions he safety of the community.
	Alternative Fi	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger th	
_	Part II – Statement of the	
I evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the de a preponderance of the evidence that:	etention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the	
	Part III – Directions Re	egarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	March 7, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
·		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	_